| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|---|---|---|
|   | 09/548,659  | FLITCROFT ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|   | Frantzy Poinvil   | 3692  |
| All Participants: Status of Application:  |   |   |
| (1) Frantzy Poinvil.  | (3)   |   |
| (2) <u>Jonathan Bowser</u> .  | (4)   |   |
| Date of Interview: 10 March 2008  | Time:   |   |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:  |   |   |
| Part I.   |   |   |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None  |   |   |
| Claims discussed:<br>24, 26, 33   |   |   |
| Prior art documents discussed: None   |   |   |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See the attached Examiner's Amendment   | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS   | S DISCUSSED:  |
| Part III.   |   |   |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Ti of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a writte<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance<br>interview, since the interview |
| /Frantzy Poinvil/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692  | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                   | ive Signature – if appropriate)                               |